

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

				X1Z	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,975	04/20/2001	Mark Buonanno	CSCO-3825	882	
7	590 06/08/2004		EXAM	INER 8	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			BORISSO	BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Third Floor					
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			3629		

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
0.551 - A - 41 - 11 O - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	09/839,975	BUONANNO ET	BUONANNO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	A (()	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Igor Borissov	3629	MW	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered tin DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	he merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nation	al Stage	
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (P 	PTO-152)	

. Application/Control Number: 09/839,975

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 8-9, 11-14 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elms et al. (US 2002/0072992).

Elms et al. teach a method and system for enabling a host to facilitate a transaction between a first party and a second party, comprising:

As per claims 1, 11 and 18,

locating a first party and a second party [0020];

establishing a collaboration session directly between the first party and the second party [0070]; [0090];

transacting a business deal between the first party and the second party, wherein the steps of locating, conducting, and transacting are performed in an integrated software communication platform within the B2B exchange [0013]; [0083]; [0084].

As per claims 2, 12 and 19,

Application/Control Number: 09/839,975

Art Unit: 3629

automatically completing a machine-to-machine transaction once the first party and the second party criteria are met [0020]; [0027].

As per claims 3, 13 and 20,

manually completing a transaction between the first party and the second party through the collaboration session [0030]; [0070].

As per claims 4, 14 and 21,

a call center agent facilitating a transaction between the first party and the second party upon request [0030].

As per claim 8, said method and system, wherein the locating step includes seek-and-find technology [0020].

As per claim 9, said method and system, wherein the locating step includes instant messaging [0070].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10, 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elms et al.

As per claims 7, 17 and 24, Elms et al. teach said method and system, comprising the step of utilizing an agent to contact either the first party or the second

Application/Control Number: 09/839,975

Art Unit: 3629

party to complete a transaction when predetermined criteria for a prospective transaction is met [0030]; [0040]; [0041]; [0051].

However, Elms et al. do not specifically teach that said agent is a proactive agent.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Elms et al. to include that said agent is a proactive agent, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Elms et al. would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with either specifically mentioning that the agent is a proactive agent, or not.

As per claim 10, Elms et al. teach said method and system, comprising providing complete record of the correspondence between the parties and transaction signature [0065]; [0069].

However, Elms et al. do not specifically teach that providing complete record of the correspondence includes continuous call recording.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Elms et al. to include that providing complete record of the correspondence includes continuous call recording, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Elms et al. would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with either specifically mentioning call recording step, or not.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,975

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 5-6, 15-16 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elms et al. in view of Walker et al. (US 2002/0169626).

As per claims 5-6, 15-16 and 22-23, Elms et al. teach all limitations of claims 5-6, 15-16 and 22-23, including the step of providing web meeting, instant messaging, and internet collaboration [0070], except for providing video conferencing.

Walker et al. teach a method and system for providing to a prospective customer a reference for a merchant, wherein communication between parties is enabled via video conferencing, instant messaging or e-mail [0091].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Elms et al. to include video conferencing, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Elms et al. would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with either including video conferencing, or not.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 23/03/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that Elms et al. do not teach establishing a collaboration session directly between the first party and the second party, examiner points out that Elms et al. specifically teach this feature (See: Elms et al. [0070]).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3629

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308- 2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

. Application/Control Number: 09/839,975

Art Unit: 3629

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

DB

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600